

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/180,374	. <u>-</u>	04/12/1999	HEIKE RITTER	LEVER600X	6709
201	7590	11/27/2001			
UNILE				EXAMI	INER
45 RIVE	DEPART			PADEN, CA	ROLYN A
EDGEW	ATER, NJ	07020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	21
				DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1761

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

This	application is abandoned in view of:
X	Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on $5-1-0$.
	A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time ofmonth(s)) which expired on
	A proposed response was received on, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.
	(A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).
	☐ No response has been received.
	Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.
	☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on
	☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$
	☐ The issue fee has not been received.
	Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.
	Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) were received on
	☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable.
	☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.
	The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on
	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a) upon the filing of a continuing application.
	The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. The recogn(s) below:
	CAROLYM PADEN 11-26-0
FOR	PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1330 - 1 - 1 - 1



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United Stat s Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			wasning	1011, 0.0. 20201	HT
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/180,37	⁷ 4 04/12/9	9 RITTER		Н	LEVER600X
000201		IM52/0501	一	E	XAMINER
UNILEVER PATENT DE	PARTMENT			PADEN	I, C
45 RIVER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NJ 07020			1761	20
		•		DATE MAILED:	
					05/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/180374	Killer
Office Action Summary	Examinen	Group Art Unit
	Paden	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet L	eneath the correspondence address—
Peri df r Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory minir fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-5	18-01	
☐ This action is FINAL.	. 5	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1-13		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
• •		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s) 1 - 13		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	·	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	·	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	·	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s) □ 1 − 1 3 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ 1 − 1 3 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents h	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	twing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) is of the priority documents had a minus and the priority documents had a minus from the priority documents had a minus from the priority documents from th	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	twing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents had been been been been been been been bee	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	twing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents had been been been been been been been bee	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents had been according to the priority document of the priority document	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorif □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: □	twing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents hamber) International Bureau (PCT er No(s).	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Art Unit: 1761

1. The request filed on March 28, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/180,374 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (l) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9 are 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Moreau et al for reasons of record.

Moreau discloses a corn fiber oil that contains 73% fat, 8% sterol esters, 4% free sterols, 6% diacylglycerols and 6% ferulate sterol esters.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jandacek (1,413,102) in view of Moreau for reasons of record.

Jandacek discloses edible oils having hypocholesterolemic properties. Here the oil is fortified with 2 to 6% plant sterol. The oils contemplated for use in the product include clear, liquid glyceride oils and pure triglycerides (see page 1, column 2, lines 97-117). The foods prepared from the oil include peanut butter, mayonnaise, ice cream and margarine spreads. At page 3, column 2, lines 37-40 the inclusion of a monoglyceride is indicated. The claims appear to differ from Jandacek in the recitation of the inclusion of a sterol ester. Moreau teaches that corn fiber oil contains 8% sterol esters, 4% free sterols and 6% ferulate sterol ester. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the corn fiber oil of Moreau as the edible oil product of Jandacek in order to prepare a food product having hypocholesterolemic properties.

Application/Control Number: 09/180,374

Art Unit: 1761

9

In this case applicant is merely selecting a known oil for use in a food product for a known purpose.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jandacek in view of Moreau as applied to claims 1-6 and 9-13 above, and further in view of Lansbergen or Sassen.

Claim 8 appears to differ from the reference in the suggestion that the product has a particular Stevens value. Each of Lansbergen and Sassen teach vegetable oil containing compositions that have Stevens values that fall within the level that is set forth in the claims. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare an edible product having the Stevens value of the claims by using the edible oils of Lansbergen or Sassen.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in claim 5 as to what is intended by the recitation "similar to that of the sterol applied" because it is unclear what the sterol applied is.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jandacek in view of Moreau as applied to claims 1-6 and 9-12 above, and further in view of Cherukuri.

The claims appear to differ from Jandacek in view of Moreau in the recitation of the presence of oryzanol. Cherukuri teaches that oryzanol is an inherent component of rice bran oil. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the rice bran oil of Cherukuri in the

Application/Control Number: 09/180,374

Art Unit: 1761

composition of Jandacek for its antioxidant properties in preserving the composition of Jandacek

and also in providing an oil source for the composition of Jandacek.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Carolyn Paden whose telephone number is (703) 308-3294. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 to 4:00.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599 or 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Page 5